

# Long-term memory in 1000 years simulated temperature records (EGU2007-A-02853)

DIEGO RYBSKI<sup>a)</sup>, ARMIN BUNDE<sup>a)</sup>, AND HANS VON STORCH<sup>b)</sup>

<sup>a)</sup> Institut für Theoretische Physik III, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, 35392 Giessen, Germany  
<sup>b)</sup> Institute for Coastal Research, GKSS Research Centre, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany

We study the appearance of long-term persistence in temperature records, obtained from the global coupled general circulation model ECHO-G for two runs, using detrended fluctuation analysis. The first run is a historical simulation for the years 1000–1990 (with greenhouse gas, solar, and volcanic forcing) while the second run is a 1000 year control-run. We consider daily data of all grid-points as well as their biannual averages in order to suppress two year oscillations appearing in the model records for some sites near the Equator. Our results substantially confirm earlier studies of (considerably shorter) instrumental data and extend their results from decades to centuries. In the case of the historical simulation we find that most continental sites have correlation exponents  $\gamma$  between 0.8 and 0.6. For the ocean sites the long-term correlations seem to vanish at the Equator and become non-stationary at the Arctic Circles. In the control-run the long-term correlations are less pronounced. Compared with the historical run, the correlation exponents are increased, and show a more pronounced latitude dependence, visible also at continental sites. When analyzing the biannual averages, we find stronger long-term correlations in the historical run at continental sites and a less pronounced latitude dependence. In all cases, the exponent  $\gamma$  does not depend on the distance of the sites to the continental coastlines.

## Climate Model Simulations

We consider the global coupled general circulation model ECHO-G, which consists of the atmosphere model ECHAM4 (approx.  $3.75^\circ \times 3.75^\circ$ , 19 vertical levels) and the ocean model HOPE-G ( $2.81^\circ \times 2.81^\circ$ , 20 vertical levels). Constant, zero-average flux correction of heat and freshwater is applied in order to avoid climate drift in such a long simulation.




Figure 1

Here we analyze the 2m-temperature records of all  $96 \times 48 = 4608$  gridpoints for two runs of this model, both extended over almost 1000 years.

- historical simulation: forced with reconstructions of solar, volcanic activity, and greenhouse gas concentrations during the last millennium [Fig. 1], period 1000-1990 A.D. (991 years).
- control-run: constant driving factors, i.e., solar insolation (with an annual cycle), as well as aerosol and greenhouse gas load.

## Analysis of temperature records

We first subtracted the annual cycle of each daily temperature record

$$\tau_i = T_i - \bar{T}_i$$


and then applied DFA0-DFA3 [1–2]. To obtain the fluctuation exponent  $\alpha$ , we fitted a power law to the fluctuation function between 2 and 200 years.  $\alpha$  is related to the correlation exponent  $\gamma = 2 - 2\alpha$  ( $0 < \gamma < 1$ ). In some areas, especially in the Equatorial Pacific, almost regular biannual cycles occur, which cannot be subtracted completely. Therefore we also consider time series of biannual temperatures (i.e., averaged over two years of daily data). With this renormalization (aggregation) we also verify that scaling in the daily data is not due to a short-term process with a correlation length below two years.

Figure 2 shows the results for the fluctuation exponents obtained by DFA2.

- Values of  $\alpha$  below 0.475 (white) and above 1.025 (black) are not discriminated, since we are only interested in stationary long-term correlated data ( $0.5 < \alpha < 1.0$ ).
- Values of  $\alpha$  between 0.475 and 0.525 indicate white noise behavior and are in violet, while  $\alpha$ -values between 0.975 and 1.025, which indicate  $1/f$ -noise, are in red.

In Fig. 2 we find large violet and white areas where  $\alpha \leq 0.5$  close to the Equator, and red and black areas where  $\alpha \geq 1.0$  close to the Antarctica or Greenland. These findings will be discussed separately below.

### historical simulation, daily data



### historical simulation, biannual data




Figure 2(a)


### historical simulation

- Over land mainly exponents between 0.6 and 0.7 for daily data.
- For Europe the  $\alpha$ -values of about 0.65 are well reproduced [4].
- Over the oceans, the long-term correlations are more pronounced (northern part mainly exponents between 0.7 and 0.85, [6]).
- There is a weak dependence of  $\alpha$  on the latitude (reduced values at the Equator, raised values at the Arctic Circles).
- Also some ocean currents can be identified (Kuroshio current, South Equatorial current).

### control-run

- Considerably smaller fluctuation exponents.
- Larger areas with  $\alpha \leq 0.5$  (white) or  $\alpha \geq 1.0$  (black).
- Latitude dependence is more pronounced.
- Weaker correlations at grid-points far from the oceans.

### control-run, daily data



### control-run, biannual data





Figure 2(b)

This indicates that the forcings of the historical simulation represent important contributions to the strength of the long-term correlations (see also [7]). Figures 3 to 5 show, on the right hand side, examples of DFA2 fluctuation functions of the historical simulation (circles), of the control-run (squares) and, if available of instrumental (asterisk) or reconstructed (plus) records. They also show, the fluctuation functions of the biannual records of the historical simulation (filled circles). On the left hand side of each figure, ten years of the deseasoned records from the historical simulation and of the corresponding instrumental record (if available) are shown.




Figure 3

Figure 3 presents examples for the vast majority of fluctuation functions (continental grid-points close to the meteorological stations of Chita, Prague, and Albany).

- excellent scaling behavior, apart from a crossover at short time scales.
- fluctuation functions are straight lines for large scales.
- control-run underestimates the long-term persistence.
- seasonal trend in the standard deviation (still after deseasoning), slightly exaggerated in the model (left hand side of Fig. 3), exponents remain unchanged.




Figure 4

Figure 4 shows examples for sites located close to Greenland [Fig. 4(a,b)] and Antarctica [Fig. 4(c,d)].

- fluctuation functions do not follow straight lines (results of the automatic fitting procedure for  $\alpha$  are meaningless).
- humps occur in the curves (caused by strong oscillations in the records).
- leftovers of the seasonal trend [Fig. 4(a,c)], possibly due to very large interannual fluctuations.
- DFA is sensitive to oscillations in the mean of the records [3] (oscillations hide the true scaling).
- also the biannual data (periods below two years are absent) are characterized by an exponent  $\alpha$  above 1 (non-stationary behavior).

We cannot exclude that this non-stationarity is an artefact of the model.




Figure 5

Principally the west-coast of Southern America and the complete Equatorial Pacific regime are influenced by ENSO. Exceptionally warm (El Niño) and cold (La Niña) sea surface temperatures occur irregularly on a time scale of 3–8 years (almost periodic behaviour with a period of 2 years by the model). We inspect Central Africa [Fig. 5(a,b)], the Pacific on the latitude of the Equator [Fig. 5(c,d)], and Central Australia [Fig. 5(e-g)].

- fluctuation functions exhibit a hump at a period of about two years (misleading conclusion that the data are like white noise).
- exponents  $\alpha$  considerably smaller than 0.5 seems to suggest long-term anticorrelations in the Equatorial Pacific.
- certainly an artefact as the real underlying temporal correlation structure is masked by the (irregularly occurring) ENSO.
- fluctuation functions for the biannual data (bottom curves in Figs. 5(b,d,g)) show exponents  $\alpha$  slightly above 0.5.
- instrumental data exists for Central Australia [Fig. 5(e), Charleville].
  - again small humps in the fluctuation functions of the model-runs.
  - pattern of two years in the temperature record of the historical simulation.
  - instrumental record:  $\alpha \approx 0.74$  (on scales  $40 < s < 2000$  days).
  - simulation records:  $\alpha \approx 0.5$  (on scales  $850 < s < 70000$ ).

## Discussion

We could neither verify the claim that the long-term correlations vanish in the middle of the continents nor that the strength of these correlations increases from the Poles to the Equator (our outcome indicates the opposite). The exponents obtained for continental sites from the historical run are rather in agreement with the values found by [5], who report  $\alpha \approx 0.6 \dots 0.7$  with a maximum at 0.65, and by [8], who find  $\alpha \approx 0.63 \dots 0.75$  with a maximum at approximately 0.69. We have found, however, that these long-term correlations hold at least up to 200 years in the historical run, considerably extending the largest scales for instrumental records of typically 50 years. The comparison between control-run and historical simulation shows, that the forcings are essential for the long-term correlations in the temperature records.

**Acknowledgement:** We would like to thank the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research for financial support. We are particularly grateful to Sebastian Wagner for indispensable help especially by handling the data, and like to thank Eduardo Zorita and Jan F. Eichner for useful discussions.

## References:

- C.-K. Peng *et al.*, Phys. Rev. E **49**, 1685-1689 (1994).
- A. Bunde *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3736-3739 (2000).
- J.W. Kantelhardt *et al.*, Phys. A **295**, 441-454 (2001).
- E. Koscielny-Bunde *et al.*, Phys. Res. Lett. **81**, 729-732 (1998).
- J.F. Eichner *et al.*, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 046133 (2003).
- R.A. Monetti *et al.*, Phys. A **320**, 581-589 (2003).
- D. Vyushin *et al.*, Geophys. Res. Lett. **31**, L10206 (2004).
- A. Király *et al.*, Tellus A **58**, 593-600 (2006).